

e-ISSN: 2456-6632

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

This content is available online at AESA

Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science

Journal homepage: journals.aesacademy.org/index.php/aaes

CrossMark

Evaluation of crop arrangement and phosphorus rate on performance of maizecommon bean intercropping in western Kenya

Peter A. Opala *, Dorcus O. Ofuyo and George D. Odhiambo

Department of Applied Plant Sciences, Maseno University, P.O. Box, Private Bag, Maseno, Kisumu County, KENYA *Corresponding author's E-mail: ptropala@yahoo.com

©2020 Agriculture and Environmental Science Academy

Citation of this article: Opala, P.A., Ofuyo, D.O. and Odhiambo, G.D. (2020). Evaluation of crop arrangement and phosphorus rate on performance of maize-common bean intercropping in western Kenya. Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science, 5(3): 292-298, https://dx.doi.org/10.26832/24566632.2020.050309

INTRODUCTION

Depleted soil fertility coupled with unsustainable cropping practices in western Kenya are serious constraints to production of most food crops despite the regions favorable climatic conditions. Yield of the staple food crop maize is on average only 1t ha-¹against a potential of 5 ha-¹(Ngome *et al.,* 2011) while that of beans, another popular crop in the region, is 0.5 t ha⁻¹ against a potential of 2 t ha-¹(Namugwanya *et al.,* 2014). The situation is aggravated by the small land sizes, with individual farms rarely exceeding 1.5 ha, due to continuous land subdivision occasioned by rapid population increase (Lunze *et al.,* 2002). As a mitigation measure, intercropping of maize and common bean is often practiced as a means of maximizing land use and improving soil fertility on smallholder farms (Lunze *et al.,* 2007; Agegnehu *et al.,* 2006). This cropping system leverages on complementarity of the physiological and morphological characteristics of maize and beans in the use of growth resources (Arash *et al.,* 2016). In particular, beans may improve soil fertility through biological nitrogen fixation (Attar *et al.,* 2012; Rotaru and Sinclair, 2009) and hence reduce the need for nitrogen fertilizers (Giller, 2001; Latati *et al.,* 2016). The ability of common beans to fix nitrogen on most soils in western Kenya is however low because of P deficiencies (Beebe *et al.,* 2011). Plant available P in soil is important for sufficient nodulation of legumes and therefore nitrogen fixation because nitrogen fixing bacteria require high energy in form of ATP. Consequently, legumes require more phosphorus to achieve maximum function and must therefore be supplied with adequate P in form of fertilizers.

A major limitation in intercropping systems is the competition of component crops for growth resources such as light, moisture and nutrients, which lead to general yield reduction of the component crops (Cheng-dong *et al.,* 2019; Ijoyah and Jimba, 2012). It is however now recognized that the arrangement of component crops in intercropping systems, which defines the pattern of distribution of plants over the ground, may create different micro climates in the crop stands and therefore influence the efficiency with which the growth resources are utilized (Mal´ezieux *et al.,* 2009). Therefore, spatial arrangement of the component crops has been recognized as one of the management factors that determine the advantage or otherwise of an intercropping system (Mvubu, 2015).

In western Kenya, beans and maize are often planted in the same hole to minimize the labour requirement which is often in limited supply on smallholder farms. Another common practice is to alternate a row of beans with maize. These practices are however thought to accentuate the effects of competition because of inappropriate spatial crop arrangement (Woomer *et al.,* 2004). A newer staggered crop arrangement consisting of two rows of maize alternating with two rows of beans (called 'Mbili' in the local language) has therefore been proposed (Tungani *et al.,* 2003; Matusso *et al.,* 2014). In this system, the legume crops are not as strongly shaded by the maize in the intercrops, compared to the current crop arrangements and it is therefore hypothesized that its yields will be higher. There is however little understanding of how these crop arrangements interacts with fertilizer inputs to affect crop performance. The objective of this study was therefore to evaluate the effects of crop arrangement and P fertilizer rates on performance of maize and beans in western Kenya.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site description

The study was conducted for one season in 2015 at two sites; Bugeng'i and Malanga in western Kenya. Both sites receive a bimodal rainfall that ranges between 1500 and 1900 mm per year. The long rains season occurs from February to July and short rains from August to November. The initial soil properties at the sites are presented in Table 1.

Experimental design and treatments

A split-plot design consisting of 15 treatments with three replications was used. The crop arrangements were imposed in main plots at five levels of as follows; (i) conventional arrangement consisting of one row of maize alternating with one row of beans (ii) maize and beans planted in the same hole (iii) a staggered arrangement consisting of two rows of maize alternating with two rows of beans (Mbili) (iv) sole maize and (v) sole beans. These were combined in a factorial arrangement with three P fertilizer levels *i.e.* 0, 30 and 60 kg P ha⁻¹ in the sub-plots.

Crop establishment and management

Land was prepared to a medium seedbed tilth and plots measuring 4.5 m × 3 m demarcated. Sole maize (variety Western Hybrid 505) and common bean (Rose coco variety) were planted at 75 cm by 30 cm (44, 444 plants ha⁻¹) and 30 \times 15 cm (202,020 plants ha $^{-1}$), respectively at the onset of the rains. In all crop arrangements, two beans per hill were planted and thinned to one except for maize and beans in the same hole where three bean seeds were planted and later thinned to two to give a population of 88, 888 plants ha⁻¹ in all the intercrops. Triple superphosphate (TSP), the P source, and calcium ammonium nitrate were evenly broadcast in the appropriate plots and incorporated into the soil at planting. However, only a third of N fertilizer (20 kg N ha $^{-1}$) was applied at planting. The rest was applied using spot application to all maize treatments at 6 weeks after planting (WAP). Sole bean treatments were not top dressed with N fertilizer because the beans were inoculated and were therefore expected to fix N for their growth. The crops were managed using the recommended agronomic practices for the area.

Soil sampling and analysis

Soils for site characterization were obtained at a depth of 0-20 cm at each site by randomly auguring several spots in the field and then bulking the soil to get one composite at the beginning of the study. The samples were air-dried and analysed for pH, organic C, total N, exchangeable calcium, magnesium potassium and available P using standard laboratory procedures (Okalebo *et al.,* 2002). Soil were again sampled at 6 WAP and analysed for plant available soil P.

Estimation of leaf area index, height and yields of maize and beans

The leaf area of maize was estimated by direct measurements on single leaves for cross-sectional lengths and widths using a standard metre rule and the leaf area calculated using the formulae.

Leaf area
$$
(m^2)
$$
 = Length (L) in meters x Width (W) in meters

Leaf area for beans was also determined at 6 WAP by obtaining ten fully expanded leaves from a sample of three bean plants randomly selected from each sub-plot. Their leaf area was determined using the graphical method. Leaf area index for both crops was calculated using the formulae of Blanco and Folegatti (2003) as follows.

$$
LAI = \frac{Leafarea (m2)}{Total ground area (m2) of sampled plants}
$$

Maize and beans were harvested at physiological maturity and their grain yields determined at moisture content of 13.5%.

Land equivalent ratio

Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) was used to compare yield advantage of intercropping and was calculated as follows.

$$
LER = \frac{Yab}{Yaa} + \frac{Yba}{Ybb}
$$

Where *Y*aa and *Y*bb are yields of the sole crops and *Y*ab and *Y*ba are yields in intercrops (Mead and Willey, 1980).

Data analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on all data using GenStat software (Genstat Release 7.22, 2010) and treatment means separated by the Least Significant Differences of means (LSD) at *p<0.05*.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Available P in the soil

Table 2 illustrates effects of treatments on soil available P. There was no interaction between P fertilizer rate and crop arrangement on soil available P at both sites. At Malanga soil available P ranged between 6.7 and 30 mg $\text{kg}^{\text{-1}}$ under maize and beans planted in the same hole at 0 kg P ha $^{\text{-1}}$ and sole maize at 60 kg P ha⁻¹ respectively. Although available P increased with increasing P rate at this site, statistical significance was not attained. Crop arrangement however, had a significant effect, with sole maize and sole bean crops having similar but higher amounts of available P than other crop arrangements. This was likely due to lower uptake of P in the sole crops where the plant population was lower than in the intercrops.

At Bugeng'i, available P ranged from 10.47 to 12.87 mg $\text{kg}^{\text{-1}}$ under conventional arrangement at 0 kg P ha $^{\text{-1}}$ and sole maize at 60 kg P ha⁻¹respectively. Soil available P was not affected by crop arrangement at this site but was significantly affected by P fertilizer rate where it increased with increasing P rate within a crop arrangement. This is attributed very soluble nature of TSP. Consequently higher rates of fertilizer released higher quantities of P in soil solution. Similar findings were reported by Opala *et al.* (2012).

Leaf area index of beans and maize

The LAIs of beans ranged from 0.29 to 1.51 (Table 3). These were very low when compared to the optimum value of 4 reported by Mengel and Kirkby (2001). The low LAIs are partly attributed to adverse weather conditions during the study period. Excessive rainfall (1065 mm and 820 mm at Bungeng'i and Malanga, respectively received in only 3 months) partly damaged the bean leafs. There was no interaction between P fertilizer rate and crop arrangement on LAI of beans at both sites (Table 3). Effects of crop arrangements and P rates on LAI of beans were also not significant. This is ascribed to the fact that maize had low LAI and hence did not shade the beans. The competition for light was therefore not a major factor among the crop arrangements as would have been expected.

There was no interaction between P fertilizer rates and crop arrangements on LAI of maize at both sites (Table 4). The LAI of maize was also not significantly affected by crop arrangement at both sites. This is attributable to the fact that maize, which was the main crop in the intercrop, was taller than the beans and therefore was not affected by the beans in competition for light. Similarly, Worku (2008) reported that bean arrangement didn't influence growth of maize in Ethiopia and attributed this to the less aggressive nature of bean over maize. Leaf area index of maize at Malanga ranged from 1.09 under sole maize at 0 kg P ha⁻¹ to 1.80 under Mbili at 60 kg P ha⁻¹. At Bugeng'i, LAI of maize ranged between 0.10 under maize and beans planted in the same hole at 0 kg P ha⁻¹ and 1.93 under conventional at 60 kg P ha⁻¹. These LAIs were however low compared to the optimum of 5 for maize (Mengel and Kirkby, 2001). High LAI is responsible for higher absorption rates of solar radiation due to larger leaf surface area and therefore highly influences biomass accumulation (Tsubo *et al.,* 2001). The low LAIs in this study therefore adversely affected the final yields of both crops. The LAI due to application of 60 and 30 kg P ha⁻¹ did not differ significantly at this Malanga but both had significantly higher LAI than 0 kg P ha⁻¹. The general increase in LAI with P rate confirms that P was limiting in these soils and demonstrates the importance of P fertilization on these soils.

Bean yields

Effects of treatments on bean yields are presented in (Table 5). The average bean yields (0.37 t ha $^{-1}$ at Malanga, 0.45 t ha $^{-1}$ at Bungeng'i) were lower than the reported potential yield of 2 t ha-¹ (Namugwanya *et al.,* 2014). These poor yields, as earlier explained for the low LAIs, are attributed to the generally adverse weather conditions during the study period. In addition, bean growth is likely to have been limited by the low initial levels of soil N (< 0.2%) at both sites (Table 1). It was assumed that beans would fix N to support their growth and hence they were not top-dressed. However, due to high acidity of these

Table 2. Available soil phosphorus (mg kg⁻¹) at both the study sites.

Table 3. Effects of different phosphorus rate on leaf area index of beans.

Table 4. Effects of different phosphorus rate on leaf area index of maize.

soils, the generally low soil P levels coupled with the fact that beans are inherently poor N fixers (Attar *et al.,* 2012), it is unlikely that the beans fixed enough N for their use.

There was no interaction between P fertilizer rate and crop arrangement on yields of beans at both sites. At Malanga, effect of crop arrangement on bean yields was not significant but the yields increased with increasing P rate. At Bugeng'i, conventional arrangement at 0 kg P ha $^{\text{-1}}$ had the least (0.09 t ha $^{\text{-1}}$) while sole beans at 60 kg P ha⁻¹ had the highest (1.8 t ha⁻¹) yields. The effect of crop arrangement was significant at this site. When averaged across all P rates, sole bean crop had significantly higher yield than the other crop arrangements mainly because they had higher plant population (202,020 plants ha $^{-1}$) com-

pared the intercrops (88, 888 plants ha⁻¹). There was also less competition for growth resources in the sole bean crop than in the intercrops. Other crop arrangements did not differ significantly in bean yields. This is consistent with the lack of significant differences in LAI observed earlier.

The effect of P fertilizer on bean yields was significant at both sites with higher P rates generally giving higher yields. This response to P application corroborates the fact that the initial available soil P at these sites (8 mg kg^{-1} at Malanga and 10 mg kg ⁻¹ and Bungeng'i) was wanting. This is buttressed by the significant positive linear relationship between available soil P and bean grain yields at Malanga (r = 0.86) which indicates that P was important in determining yields at these sites.

Similar positive responses of beans to P fertilizer have been reported (Fageria and Baligar, 2016). Phosphorus fertilization improves early root formation and therefore facilitates increased nodulation and enhanced common bean productivity (Vongai *et al.,* 2018).

Maize yields

There was no interaction between P fertilizer and crop arrangement on maize grain yield at Malanga (Table 6). The effect of crop arrangement was also not significant. Maize grain yields ranged between 0.27 t ha⁻¹ under maize and beans planted in the same hole at 0 kg P ha⁻¹ and 0.69 t ha⁻¹ under conventional arrangement at 60 kg P ha⁻¹ (Table 6). These very low yields are attributed to parasitic *Striga* weed at this site. *Striga* has been reported to decrease yields of maize by as much as 100% in western Kenya (Atera *et al.,* 2013; Vanlauwe *et al.,* 2008). In addition, soil acidity (pH 5.0 at Malanga and 4.8 at Bungeng'i) is likely to have been a problem at both sites. Under such low pH (pH < 5.5), Al toxicity limits root growth and crops do not adequately respond to applied fertilizer inputs (Kisinyo *et al.,* 2014; Marschner, 1985).

Application of 60 kg P ha⁻¹gave significantly higher maize grain yields than at 0 and 30 kg P ha $^{\text{-1}}$ at Malanga. The difference in yield between 0 and 30 kg P ha⁻¹ was however not significant. Similar increases in maize yield with increasing P rate have been demonstrated in many other studies in western Kenya (Nziguheba *et al.,* 2016; Opala *et al.,* 2014; Opala *et al.,* 2010). At Bugeng'i, maize yields ranged between 1.55 t ha⁻¹under sole maize at 0 kg P ha⁻¹ and 5.84 t ha⁻¹ under conventional at 60 kg P ha-¹ . There was a significant interaction between P rate and crop arrangement on maize yields at this site. The grain yield for conventional crop arrangement followed the order: 60 kg P ha $^{-1}$ > 30 kg P ha⁻¹ > 0 kg P ha⁻¹. However, for the Mbili arrangement, the grain yield due to application of 0 kg P ha $^{-1}$ was significantly

lower than that at 30 kg P ha⁻¹ but the yields 30 and 60 kg P ha⁻¹ for this arrangement did not differ significantly. Therefore, it is not beneficial to apply P fertilizer beyond 30 kg P ha⁻¹ for the Mbili arrangement at this site. There were no significant differences in maize yields as affected by P rates in the sole maize or when maize and beans were planted in the same hole at Bugeng'i. When averaged across P rates, the mean yields for conventional and Mbili arrangements were statistically similar but significantly higher than those of maize planted in the same hole as beans at Bugeng'i. This was attributed to the advantages of appropriate crop arrangements in these systems, resulting in reduced competition between maize and beans consequently leading to better nutrient absorption and utilization. Similar results were reported by Mattuso *et al.* (2014) and Mucheru-Muna *et al.* (2010) in the central highlands of Kenya and Woomer *et al.* (2004) in western Kenya.

Land equivalent ratio

The LERs ranged from 0.88 for maize and beans planted in the same hole at 0 P kg ha⁻¹ at Bugeng'i to 2.41 for conventional crop arrangement at 60 P kg ha⁻¹ at Malanga (Table 7). The LER within a cropping arrangement generally increased with increasing P rate at both sites but statistical significance was not attained. The LER at both sites was > 1 irrespective of crop arrangement, except for maize and beans planted in the same hole at Bugeng'i when no P fertilizer was applied. The better performance of the intercrop is credited to better utilization of nutrients and other growth factors such as moisture, and light interception by the component crops in the intercrop (Matusso *et al.,* 2014; Tsubo *et al.,* 2001; Chowdhury and Rosario, 1994). The poor performance of the maize and beans planted in the same hole, especially when no phosphate fertilizer was applied is attributed to the higher competition for growth resources because of the close proximity of the component crops.

Table 6. Effects of different phosphorus rate on maize yields.

Table 7. Land equivalent ratios in relation to phosphorus rate during maize-bean intercropping.

Conclusion

There was no significant interaction between P rate and crop arrangement for all parameters under consideration at Malanga. At this site maize yields were very low due to effects of *Striga* weed that confounded the effects of the applied fertilizer and crop arrangement. Bean yields however increased with increasing P rate at both sites. At Bungeng'i, there was significant interaction between P rate and crop arrangement where the maize yield in the conventional arrangement increased with increasing P, but for the Mbili arrangement, the grain yield due to application of 0 kg P ha⁻¹ was significantly lower than that at 30 kg P ha⁻ $^{\rm 1}$, but the yields at 30 and 60 kg P ha $^{\rm 1}$ did not differ significantly. Based on economic considerations, the lower P fertilizer rate (30 kg P ha⁻¹) for the Mbili arrangement should be used at this site. Intercropping was beneficial in all crop arrangements (LER > 1) except for maize and beans planted in the same hole with no P fertilizer application at Bugeng'i and can therefore be practiced at these sites as long as adequate fertilizer is provided and *Striga* weed controlled.

Conflict of interests

The authors have declared no conflict of any interests.

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) or sources are credited.

REFERENCES

- Agegnehu, G., Ghizaw, A. and Sinebo, W. (2006). Yield performance and land-use efficiency of barley and faba bean mixed cropping in Ethiopian highlands. *European Journal of Agronomy,* 25: 202–207.
- Arash, H.P., Javad, M., Hossein, Z.T. and Reza, V. (2016). Evaluation of Yield and Yield Components in Intercropping of Maize and Green Bean. *Journal of Agricultural Science,* 26: 68-78
- Atera, E.A., Ishii, T., Onyango, J.C., Itoh, K., and Azuma, T. (2013). Striga Infestation in Kenya: Status, Distribution and Management Options. *Sustainable Agriculture Research*, 2:99-108.
- Attar, H.A., Blavet, D., Selim, E.M., Abdelhamid, M.T. and Drevon, J.J. (2012). Relationship between Phosphorus and nitrogen fixation by common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) under drip irrigation. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 9:1-13.
- Beebe, S., Ramirez, J., Jarvis, A., Rao, M.I., Mosquera, G., Bueno, M.J. and Blair, W.M. (2011). Genetic Improvement of Common Beans and the Challenges of Climate Change. In: Yadav, S.S., Redden, J.R., Hatfield, L.J., Lotze-Campen, H. and Hall, E.A. (Eds.), *Crop Adaptation to Climate Change,* Colombia: Blackwell Publishing Ltd. 356-369.
- Blanco, F.F. and Folegatti, M.V. (2003). A new method for estimating the leaf area index of cucumber and tomato plants. *Horticultura Brasileira, Brasília,* 21: 666-669.
- Cheng-dong, H.**,** Liu Q, Li, X. and Zhang, C. (2019). Effect of intercropping on maize grain yield and yield components. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 18: 2–12.
- Chowdhury, M.K. and Rosario, E.L. (1994). Comparison of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium utilization efficiency in maize/ mungbean intercropping. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 122: 193-199.
- Fageria, N.K. and Baligar, V.C. (2016). Growth, yield and yield components of dry bean as influenced by phosphorus in tropical acid soil. *Journal of Plant Nutrition,* 3: 562–568.
- GenStat (2010). The GenStat Teaching Edition. GenStat Release 7.22 TE. Copyright (2008), VSN International Ltd.
- Giller, K.E. (2001). Nitrogen Fixation in Tropical Cropping Systems, 2nd edition. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Ijoyah, M.O. and Fanen, F.T. (2012). Effects of different cropping pattern on performance of maize-soybean mixture in Makurdi. *Nigeria Journal of Crop Science*, 1:39-47.
- Kisinyo, P.O., Opala, P.A., Gudu, S.O., Othieno, C.O., Okalebo, J.R., Palapala, V. and Otinga, A.N. (2014). Recent advances towards understanding and managing Kenyan acid soils for improved crop production. *African Journal and Agricultural Research,* 9:2397-2408.
	- Latati, M., Bargaz, A., Belarbi, B., Lazali, M., Benlahrech, S., Tellah, S., Kaci, G., Drevon, J.J. and Ounane, S.M. (2016). The intercropping common bean with maize improves the rhizobial efficiency, resource use and grain yield under low phosphorus availability. *European Journal Agronomy,* 72: 80–90.
	- Lunze, L., Kimani, P.M., Ndakidemi, P.A., Rabary, B., Rachier, G.O., Ugen, M.M. and Nabahungu, L. (2002). Selection of bean lines tolerant to low soil fertility conditions in Africa. *Annual Report Bean Improvement Cooperative*, 45: 182–183.
	- Lunze, L., Kimani, P.M., Ngatoluwa, R., Rabary, B., Rachier, G.O., Ugen, M.M., Ruganza, V. and Awadelkarim, E.E. (2007). Bean improvement for low soil fertility adaptation in Eastern and Central Africa. In: Bationo, A., Waswa, B., Kihara, J., Kimetu, J. (Eds.), Advances in Integrated Soil Fertility Management in Sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and Opportunities. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 325–332
	- Mal´ezieux, E., Crozat, Y., Dupraz, C., Laurans, M., Makowski, D., Ozier-Lafontaine, H., Rapidel, B., de Tourdonnet, S. and Valantin-Morison, M. (2009). Mixing plant species in cropping systems: Concepts, tools and models. A review. *Agronomy and Sustainable Development,* 29: 43–62.
	- Marschner, H. (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, Academic Press, London, UK.
	- Matusso, J.M.M., Mugwe, J.N. and Mucheru-Muna, M. (2014). Potential role of cereal-legume intercropping systems in integrated soil fertility management in smallholder farming systems of Sub-Saharan Africa. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Management,* 3:162-174.
	- Mead, R. and Willey, R.W. (1980). The Concept of a Land Equivalent Ratio and advantages in yields from Intercropping*. Experimental Agriculture,* 16: 217-228.
	- Mengel, K. and Kirkby, E.A. (2001). Principles of plant nutrition. 5th edn. K. Mengel, EA Kirby. (2001). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publisher
	- Mucheru-Muna, M.P., Pypers, D., Mugendi, J., Kungu, J., Mugwe, R., Merckx, B. and Vanlauwe (2010). A staggered maize-legume intercrop arrangement robustly increases yields and economic returns in the highlands of Central Kenya. *Field Crops Research*, 115:132-139.
	- Mvubu B.L. (2015). Effects of spatial arrangement of maize (*zea mays* [L.]) intercropped with common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* [L.]) and cowpeas (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.]) on growth and yield. Msc. thesis, University of Swaziland.
	- Namugwanya, M., Tenywa, J.S, Otabbong, E, Mubiru, D.N. and Ali, B.T. (2014). Development of Common Bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) Production under Low

Soil Phosphorus and Drought in Sub-Saharan Africa. A Review. *Journal of Sustainable Development,* 7: 128-139.

- Ngome A.F., Mtei M.K. and Becker, M. (2011). Leguminous cover crops differentially affect maize yields in western Kenya. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics,* 112:1-10.
- Nziguheba, G., Zingore, S. and Kihara, J. (2016). Phosphorus in smallholder farming systems of sub-Saharan Africa: implications for agricultural intensification, *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems,* 104: 321-340.
- Okalebo, J.R., K.W., Gathua, and Woomer, P.L. (2002). Laboratory methods of soil and plant analysis, pp 128. A Working manual, 2nd edition, TSBF-CIAT, SSSEA, KARI, Sacred Africa, Moi University.
- Opala P.A., Nyambati, R.O. and Kisinyo, P.O. (2014). Response of Maize to organic and inorganic sources of nutrients in acid soils of Kericho County, Kenya. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture,* 4: 713-723.
- Opala, P.A., Okalebo, J.R. and Othieno, C. (2012). Comparison of effects of phosphorus sources on soil acidity, available phosphorus and maize yields at two sites in western Kenya. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 59:327– 339.
- Opala, P.A., Othieno, C.O., Okalebo, J.R. and Kisinyo, P.O. (2010). Effects of combining organic materials with inorganic phosphorus sources on maize yield and financial benefits in Western Kenya. *Experimental Agriculture,* 46: 23-34.
- Rotaru, V. and Sinclair, T.R. (2009). Interactive influence of phosphorus and iron on nitrogen fixation by soybean. *Environmental and Experimental Botany,* 66: 94-99.
- Tsubo, M., Walker, S. and Mukhala, E. (2001). Comparison of radiation use efficiency of mono-/inter-cropping systems with different row orientations. *Field Crop Research,* 71: 17-29.
- Tungani, J.O., Woomer, P.L. and Mukhwana, E.J. (2003). Strategies of applying mineral fertilizers to an innovative maize-legume intercrop in western Kenya. *African Crop Science Conference Proceedings*, 6: 394-399.
- Vanlauwe, B., Kanampiu, F., Odhiambo, G.D., De Groote, H, Wadhams L.J. and Khan Z.R. (2008). Integrated management of *Striga hermonthica*, stem borers, and declining soil fertility in western Kenya. *Field Crops Research,* 107: 102-115.
- Vongai, C., Chikowoa, R. and Vanlauwe, B (2018). Response of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) to nitrogen, phosphorus and rhizobia inoculation across variable soils in Zimbabwe. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment,* 266: 167–173.
- Woomer, P.L., Langat, M. and Tungani, J.O. (2004). Innovative maize-legume intercropping results in above-and below-ground competitive advantages for under storey legumes. *West African Journal of Applied Ecology*, 6: 85-94.
- Worku, W. (2008). Evaluation of common bean genotypes of diverse growth habit under sole and intercropping with maize in southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Agronomy,* 7: 306-313.