

e-ISSN: 2456-6632

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

This content is available online at AESA

Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science

Journal homepage: journals.aesacademy.org/index.php/aaes



CrossMark

Growth yield performance of different hybrid cauliflower (*Brassica oleraceae* var. botrytis L.) cultivars in Dang, Nepal

Manoj Basnet^{1*} (1), Arvind Srivastava², Kalyani Mishra² and Kishor Chandra Dahal¹

¹Tribhuvan University, Institute of Agriculture and Animal Science, Kiritipur, Kathmandu, Nepal ²Agriculture and Forestry University, Faculty of Agriculture, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal *Corresponding author's E-mail: manoj@iaas.edu.np

ARTICLE HISTORY	ABSTRACT
Received: 20 June 2024 Revised received: 15 August 2024 Accepted: 24 August 2024	Cauliflower is commonly grown in terai and mid-hills during the winter season (September to December), thus known as mid-season cole crop in the context of Nepal. As this cropping period possess favorable environmental condition to grow, and the production cost is comparatively lower and productivity is higher as compared to early and late seasons crop. Farmers are
Keywords Cauliflower Hybrid Mid-season cultivars Performance	cultivating various hybrid (F1) cultivars to increase the yield and income. Every year, several hybrids are being imported in Nepal and there needs a varietal trial before being recommended at farmer's field. Thus, this experiment was conducted at horticulture farm of Campus of Live Sciences. Tulsipur, Dang, Nepal for two consecutive years (2021 and 2022 AD) to evaluate the growth and yield attributes of five hybrid cauliflower cultivars. Cultivars viz. Snow Best, Snow Crown, Super White Top, Rami and Damy were treated as treatment and replicated four times in Randomized Complete Block Design. The experiment showed that cultivar Super White Top and Snow Crown had desirable vegetative characteristics, better yield, and higher harvest index as compared to other cultivars. Hence, Super White Top and Snow Crown were found to be the suitable mid-season hybrid cultivars in Dang condition.

©2024 Agriculture and Environmental Science Academy

Citation of this article: Basnet, M., Srivastava, A., Mishra, K., & Dahal, K. C. (2024). Growth yield performance of different hybrid cauliflower (*Brassica oleraceae* var. botrytis L.) cultivars in Dang, Nepal. Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science, 9(3), 475-480, https://dx.doi.org/10.26832/24566632.2024.0903010

INTRODUCTION

Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. botrytis L.) also known as king of Cole crop belongs to Brassicaceae family (Giri, 2020). It is an important commercial vegetable crop in Nepal which is grown from terai to mid hills of Nepal (Pradhan *et al.*, 2023; Khanal *et al.*, 2022; Giri *et al.*, 2020). The economical and edible part of cauliflower is immature curd and is rich source of several vitamins, minerals and fiber (Poudel *et al.*, 2017). Cauliflower serves medicinal value as it helps to prevent chronic cancer and cardiovascular diseases (Keck & Finley, 2004; Ara *et al.*, 2009) and is consumed as raw, cooked vegetable, curry and is the best ingredients in noodles, burgers and sandwich preparation (Sajad, 2017; Kushwah *et al.*, 2023). In the world, cauliflower is cultivated in a total area of 1226455 ha of land with total production of 6822153522 mt and productivity of 18.1 mt/ha (FAO, 2023). Cauliflower ranked first in terms of area and production among vegetables in Nepal with a total area of 39,214 ha and a total production of 611,015t with the average productivity of 15.58 t/ha (MoALD, 2020).

Majority of the Nepalese farmers grow cauliflower in mid-season (September to December) because of favorable environmental condition, low cost of production and higher yield as compared to early and late season. The productivity of cauliflower in Nepal is pretty less as compared to globe productivity so that the Nepalese farmers are using several hybrid cultivars to boost up their production and productivity. Thus, the hybrid seeds' import in context of Nepal has shoot up



drastically since the past few years. The cauliflower yield differs in different growing regions (Bhattarai *et al.*, 2014) and is governed by the varietal characteristics along with the environment conditions (Cisse, 2001; Shrestha, 2022). Cauliflower with superior traits i.e. productivity, postharvest quality (color, compactness, taste and postharvest longevity of the curd) and resistance to biotic and abiotic factors are essential for higher yield (Khanal *et al.*, 2022; Bhattarai & Budhathoki, 2005). Similarly, farmers are using the hybrid cultivars haphazardly without varietal and location trial by which the productivity of cauliflower has not increased to desired level (Pradhan *et al.*, 2023; Giri *et al.*, 2020). So, this study was carried out to identify the suitable hybrid cultivars for mid-season planting in the Dang, western mid-hill of Nepal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location and agro climate of the experimental site

The field experiment was conducted at horticulture farm of Campus of Live Sciences, Tulsipur, Dang at an altitude of 750 m for two continuous cauliflower growing season of 2021 and 2022. The experimental site lies in the Terai geographical region of with the latitude and longitude of 28°15'45" N, 82°32'35" E. Weekly average data of maximum and minimum temperature, total rainfall during cauliflower growing period was collected from Tulsipur Sub metropolitan City office, Tulsipur, Dang. The average temperature and relative humidity of the cropping season during 2021 was 21.22 °C 78.09% whereas, the average temperature and relative humidity of the cropping season during 2022 was and 21.09°C and 77.89%, respectively (Figure 1).

Design of the study

Five hybrid cauliflower cultivars viz. Snow Best, Snow Crown, Super White Top, Rami and Damy (seeds collected from registered agriculture shop) were replicated four times in randomized complete block design (RCBD) in the horticulture farm of Campus of Live Sciences, Dang, Nepal. There was a total of 20 plots. Individual net experimental plot area comprised of 3 m×2.5 m (7.5 m²) with 25 plants. Cauliflowers were planted at a spacing of 60×50 cm. There were a total 500 pants including the



Figure 1. Agro climatic parameters (rainfall, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, and relative humidity) during crop season.

boarder plants in the plots. The space between blocks and plots were 1 m and 0.5 m, respectively.

Nursery raising, land preparation, transplanting, and fertilization

Nursery tray and peat moss was used for nursery rising of the cauliflower. The experimental field was ploughed thoroughly up to 25-30 cm depth was done and full dose of farm yard manure i.e., 30 tons was applied one month before transplanting of the seedling. Four-week-old seedlings were transplanted in the experimental field. The recommended dose of fertilizer for cauliflower i.e. 30 t Farm Yard Manure (FYM) and 200:120:80 Nitrogen (N), Phosphorous (P) and Potassium (K) kg/ha (Giri, 2020) was applied. Full dose of P and K and half dose of N were applied during transplanting day whereas, half of the nitrogen was applied after 30 days after transplanting. Similar intercultural operations (weeding, irrigation, pesticide application) were carried out in all experimental plots.

Observations taken

Plant height was measured from the ground level to the growing point in five tagged plants of each plot. Stem diameter (basal portion of the stem) from the five tagged plants of each plot was measured with the help of Vernier's Caliper. Effective leaf numbers were counted in five tagged plants from each plot. Length of leaf in the plant was measured from the base of the leaf tip and width of the leaf was also measured in five selected plants. Diagonal length and width at top surface of the plant representing the plant coverage was measured from the selected five plants. The observation for plant height, stem diameter, leaf number, rosette diameter, length and width of the leaf were recorded at 25, 40, 55 days after transplantation (DAT) and harvesting day, later the mean was calculated. The days to curd initiation and curd maturity of five tagged plants were recorded from the date of transplanting and means were calculated. At the time of harvesting, fresh weight of leaves and stem per plant were recorded with the help of spring balance. Similarly, the height and diameter of the curds from five selected experimental plants were recorded at the time of harvesting with the help of meter scale. The total weight of curd, roots, stem and leaves immediately after harvest was recorded from five experimental plants as biological yield whereas, economic yield was calculated as total curd yield along with 3-4 inner jacket leaves. The net plot yield was then converted to ton ha-1. Harvest index was calculated as (Dhakal et al., 2009).

Harvest Index (%) =
$$\frac{\text{Economic yield (curd yield)}}{\text{Total biological yield}} \times 100$$

Statistical analysis

Data was systematically arranged on the basis of various observed parameters. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done using IBM SPSS statistics V.27. Means were compared using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 0.05 and 0.01 level of significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth attributes

Plant height and stem diameter: The combined analysis of variance showed that plant height was significant at 55 days after transplanting (DAT) and at harvest stage of the crop whereas, stem diameter was found to be significant at 40, 55 DAT and at harvest stage of the cauliflower. The mean separation showed, Rami variety had a consistence higher plant height at 25, 40 and 55 DAT while Super White Top cultivar had the highest plant height at harvest stage of the crop. Similarly, Super White Top had the highest stem diameter at 25, 55 DAT and at harvest stage of the crop whereas Rami cultivar had the highest stem diameter at 40 DAT. The mean plant height of various cauliflower cultivars at 25, 40, 55 DAT and harvest stage was found to be 17.1 cm, 26.1 cm, 43.7 cm, and 49.7 cm, respectively (Table 1). Moreover, the grand mean of stem diameter at 25, 40, 55 DAT and harvest stage was 0.6 cm, 0.9 cm, 1.5 cm, and 1.8 cm, respectively. The maximum variation on both plant height and stem diameter was observed at mid growth state (55 DAT). The variation in plant height and stem diameter of cauliflower among different cultivars is due to genetic characteristics and environmental factors (Giri, 2020; Giri et al., 2020). Similar results were reported by (Santhosha et al., 2014; Jindal & Thakur, 2004; Chittora and Singh, 2015; Giri et al., 2023; Shrestha, 2022).

Leaf attributes: Combined analysis of variance revealed a significant variation on leaf number at 25, 55 DAT and at harvest stage and leaf length also found to be significant across 25, 40, 55 DAT and at harvest stage of crop. In addition, leaf breath was found to be significant across 40, 55 DAT and at harvest stage of crop. Damy cultivar showed highest leaf number at 25 DAT, Rami cultivar had the highest leaf number across 40 and 55 DAT while, Super White Top had the highest leaf number at harvest stage of crop. Similarly, the highest leaf length was observed in Rami at 25 DAT whereas, Super White Top had at 40, 55 DAT and at harvest stage of the crop. The highest leaf breadth was observed in Rami across 25, 40 and 55 DAT while, Snow Crown had at harvest stage of the crop during its overall growth period. The maximum variation among cauliflower cultivars for leaf number, leaf length, and leaf breadth was observed at 55 DAT. The variation in leaf number, length and breadth of cauliflower among different cultivars is due to genetic characteristics and environmental factors (Giri *et al.*, 2020; Ram *et al.*, 2009; Yadav *et al.*, 2013). The similar leaf attributes (leaf number, breadth and width) variation was found in different hybrid cauliflower cultivars (Giri *et al.*, 2023; Kindo & Singh, 2018; Shrestha, 2022).

Rosette diameter: The combined analysis of variance revealed a significant variation on rosette diameter of hybrid cultivars across 40, 55 DAT and at harvest stage of the crop as well. Super White Top cultivar showed the highest rosette diameter across 40 and 55 DAT while, Rami had at 25 DAT and harvest stage of crop. The mean rosette diameter at 25, 40, 55, and harvest stage was 19.5 cm, 31.9 cm, 56.9 cm, 60.2 cm, respectively and the maximum variation was observed at 55 DAT. Moreover, Super White Top cultivar showed the highest fresh stem weight (85.92 gm) and fresh leaf weight (683.7 gm) among the other hybrids. The variation in rosette diameter, fresh stem and leaf weight of cauliflower among different cultivars is due to genetic characteristics and environmental factors (Giri et al., 2020; Poudel et al., 2017; Pandey, 2003). The similar results was found by (Giri et al., 2023; Rai & Kencho, 2024; Kindo & Singh, 2018; Shrestha, 2022).

Cultivors		Plant hei	ght (cm)		Stem diameter (cm)				
Cultivars	25 DAT	40 DAT	55 DAT	Harvest	25 DAT	40 DAT	55 DAT	Harvest	
Snow Best	16.39 ^a	25.04 ^a	39.35 ^a	42.09 ^a	0.535 ^a	0.8288 ^a	1.505 ^{ab}	1.767 ^a	
Snow Crown	15.87 ^a	26.32 ^a	41.84 ^a	49.44 ^{bc}	0.5575^{ab}	0.8813^{ab}	1.483 ^{ab}	1.778^{a}	
Super White Top	17.51 ^a	27.29 ^a	47.38 ^b	55.55 ^d	0.6629 ^b	0.97 ^{bc}	1.652 ^{ab}	1.896 ^a	
Rami	18.26 ^a	27.39 ^a	47.61 ^b	53.62 ^{cd}	0.6469 ^b	1.035 ^c	1.364 ^a	1.847 ^a	
Damy	17.42 ^a	24.41 ^a	42.22 ^a	47.72 ^b	0.5916^{ab}	0.8538^{ab}	1.343 ^a	1.907 ^a	
Grand mean	17.1	26.1	43.7	49.7	0.6	0.9	1.5	1.8	
SEM	0.66	1.27	1.18	1.29	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.05	
F-test	NS	NS	**	**	NS	**	**	NS	
LSD _{0.05}	1.90	3.67	3.43	3.74	0.07	0.09	0.17	0.14	
CV %	10.9	13.7	7.6	7.3	12.1	9.3	11.3	7.5	

Table 1. Mean plant height and stem diameter of different hybrid cauliflower cultivars at periodic stages of growth in Tulsipur, Dangduring September to December month of 2021 and 2022.

Means with same letter in column are not significantly different at p = 0.05 by DMRT. *Significant at 5% (p < 0.05), **significant at 1% (p < 0.01) and ns: not significantly different at 5% (p > 0.05). SEM = Standard error of mean, LSD = Least significant difference, CV = Coefficient of variance.

Dang during September to December month of 2021 and 2022.												
	Leaf number			Leaf length (cm)				Leaf breadth (cm)				
Cultivars	25 DAT	40 DAT	55 DAT	Harvest	25 DAT	40 DAT	55 DAT	Harvest	25 DAT	40 DAT	55 DAT	Harvest
Snow Best	4.445ª	6.461ª	10.05 ^{ab}	11.68ª	14.03 ^{ab}	21.01ª	34.47ª	37.94ª	8.057ª	9.60ª	17.59ª	16.96ª
Snow Crown	4.726 ^{ab}	7.240 ^ª	9.10 ^ª	13.33 ^{ab}	13.74ª	21.80 ^{ab}	35.98ª	41.86 ^{ab}	7.431ª	12.01 ^{ab}	19.06 ^{ab}	21.42 ^b
Super White Top	5.071 ^{ab}	7.136ª	11.31 ^{bc}	15.29 ^b	15.89 ^{ab}	26.17 ^c	43.54 ^b	48.58°	7.890 ^ª	10.96 ^{ab}	20.24 ^b	20.27 ^b
Rami	4.919 ^{ab}	7.385ª	11.88 ^c	13.63 ^{ab}	16.14 ^b	25.73 ^{bc}	42.59 ^b	46.38 ^{bc}	8.389ª	13.74 ^b	20.87 ^b	20.71 ^b
Damy	5.416 ^b	7.326ª	11.31 ^{bc}	14.78 ^{ab}	14.29 ^{ab}	20.41 ^a	37.95ª	41.95 ^{ab}	7.814 ^ª	11.35 ^{ab}	20.38 ^b	20.55 ^b
Grand mean	4.9	7.1	10.7	13.7	14.8	23	38.9	43.3	7.9	11.5	19.6	20
SEM	0.2	0.24	0.4	0.49	0.53	1.03	1.07	1.26	0.41	0.8	0.62	0.49
F-test	*	NS	**	**	**	**	**	**	NS	*	**	**
LSD _{0.05}	0.59	0.68	1.15	1.42	1.53	2.98	3.11	3.67	1.2	2.32	1.8	1.41
CV%	11.7	9.4	10.4	10.1	10.1	12.6	7.8	8.2	14.8	19.6	8.9	6.9

Table 2. Mean leaf number, leaf length and breadth of different hybrid cauliflower cultivars at periodic stages of growth in Tulsipur,Dang during September to December month of 2021 and 2022.

Means with same letter in column are not significantly different at p = 0.05 by DMRT. *Significant at 5% (p < 0.05), **significant at 1% (p < 0.01) and ns: not significantly different at 5% (p > 0.05). SEM = Standard error of mean, LSD = Least significant difference, CV = Coefficient of variance.

Table 3. Mean rosette diameter, fresh stem and leaf weight of different hybrid cauliflower cultivars at periodic stages of growth in
Tulsipur, Dang during September to December month of 2021 and 2022.

Cultivars		Rosette di	Fresh stem	Fresh leaf		
	25 DAT	40 DAT	55 DAT	Harvest	weight (gm)	weight (gm)
Snow Best	18.41ª	24.96ª	50.12ª	44.35°	65.09ª	562.4 ^{ab}
Snow Crown	18.33ª	32.79 ^{ab}	54.42 ^{ab}	64.49 ^b	69.67 ^a	504 ^a
Super White Top	20.65°	36.69 ^b	64.09 ^b	62.19 ^b	85.92°	683.7 ^b
Rami	21.18ª	34.94 ^b	60.97 ^{bc}	67.77 ^b	84.95°	560.7 ^{ab}
Damy	18.77ª	30.34 ^{ab}	54.81 ^{ab}	62.35 ^b	72.98 ^a	549.6ª
Grand mean	19.5	31.9	56.9	60.2	75.7	572.1
SEM	0.98	2.40	1.83	3.46	5.82	32.17
F-test	NS	*	**	**	NS	**
LSD _{0.05}	2.84	6.97	5.31	10.03	16.88	93.35
CV %	14.2	21.3	9.1	16.2	21.7	15.9

Means with same letter in column are not significantly different at p = 0.05 by DMRT. *Significant at 5% (p < 0.05), **significant at 1% (p < 0.01) and ns: not significantly different at 5% (p > 0.05). SEM = Standard error of mean, LSD = Least significant difference, CV = Coefficient of variance.

Table 4. Mean curd height, curd diameter, biological yield, economic yield and harvest index of different hybrid cultivars in Tulsipur, Dang during September to December month of 2021 and 2022.

Cultivere	No of days to curd		Curo	l (cm)	Yield (Harvest index		
Cultivars	Initiation	Maturity	Height	Diameter	Biological	Economic	(%)	
Snow Best	47.75°	75.75 ^ª	7.54ª	12.97 ^a	38.05ª	17.50 ^ª	46.23 ^a	
Snow Crown	45.78 ^{bc}	74.25ª	8.93 ^{ab}	14.96 ^{ab}	40.68ª	23.60 ^{bc}	58.14 ^b	
Super White Top	45.00 ^{bc}	75.88ª	10.20 ^{ab}	18.17 ^c	51.67 ^c	27.41 ^c	53.08 ^{ab}	
Rami	43.00 ^{ab}	75.62ª	13.24 ^c	17.34 ^{bc}	48.71 ^{bc}	23.27 ^b	47.95 ^a	
Damy	40.75 ^ª	75.38 ^ª	11.47 ^{bc}	17.15 ^{bc}	46.02 ^b	22.45 ^b	49.01 ^{ab}	
Grand mean	44.5	75.4	10.3	16.1	45.00	22.8	50.9	
SEM	0.90	0.71	0.69	0.58	1.22	0.96	2.41	
F-test	**	NS	**	**	**	**	*	
LSD _{0.05}	2.61	2.06	1.99	1.69	3.54	2.78	6.99	
CV %	5.7	2.7	18.9	10.2	7.7	11.9	13.4	

Means with same letter in column are not significantly different at p = 0.05 by DMRT. *Significant at 5% (p < 0.05), **significant at 1% (p < 0.01) and ns: not significantly different at 5% (p > 0.05). SEM = Standard error of mean, LSD = Least significant difference, CV = Coefficient of variance.

Yield and yield attributes: The combined analysis of variance showed a significant variation on days to curd initiation, curd height, curd diameter, biological yield, and economic yield and harvest index. Snow Best showed the longest days (47.75) to curd initiation while, Super White Top had the longest days (75.88) to curd maturity. Rami had the highest curd height (13.24 cm) while Super White Top had the highest curd diameter (18.17 cm). Similarly, Super White Top had the highest biological yield (51.67 mt/ha) and economic yield (27.41 mt/ha) whereas Snow Crown had the highest harvest index (58.14 %). The yield variation among the cultivars was influenced by the genetic characteristics and environmental factors and similar findings were reported by (Sharma et al., 2018; Giri et al., 2020; Shrestha, 2022). Similar variation was observed by (Giri et al., 2023; Rai & Kencho, 2024; Kindo & Singh, 2018; Shrestha, 2022). Highest yield performance by Super White Top was due to its consistence higher plant height, stem diameter, leaf length, leaf breath and net biomass accumulation. Higher biomass accumulation by the plant was always beneficial for yield performance since, the vegetative part had a major contribution on photosynthesis and carbohydrate synthesis (Basnet et al., 2023; 2017).

Conclusion

The experiment revealed that the cultivar i.e., Super White Top and Snow Crown showed the consistent and prominent vegetative characteristics, and yield related characteristics (high biological yield, economic yield, and harvest index) as compared to other hybrid cultivars. Hence, Super White Top and Snow Crown could be promoted for cultivation in the agro climatic zones related to that of Tulsipur, Dang.

DECLARATIONS

Author contribution

Conceptualization, methodology: M.B., A.S., K.M., and K.C.D.; Software validation: M.B., and K.C.D.; Data curation: M.B.; Writing-original draft preparation: M.B.; Writing-review and editing: M.B., A.S., K.M., and K.C.D.; Supervision: M.B., and K.C.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval: This study did not involve any animal or human participant and thus ethical approval was not applicable.

Consent for publication: All co-authors gave their consent to publish this paper in AAES.

Data availability: The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Supplementary data: Not available.

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) or sources are credited.

REFERENCES

- Ara, N., Kaisar, M. O., Khalequzzaman, K. M., Kohinoor, H., & Ahamed, K. U. (2009). Effect of Different Dates of Planting and Lines on the Growth, Yield and Yield Contributing Characteristics of Cauliflower. *Journal of Soil Nature*, 3(1), 16-19.
- Basnet, M., Shakya, S. M., & Baral, B. R. (2017). Response of Organic Manures on Post Harvest and Soil Nutrient Restoration on Cauliflower Production. *Journal of Agriculture and Environment*, 18, 67-72.
- Basnet, M., Srivastava, A., Mishra, K., & Dahal, K.C. (2023). Post-Harvest and Sensory Quality Performance of Open Pollinated Cauliflower Cultivars for Mid-season at Dang District of Nepal. Nepalese Horticulture, 17, 79–85.
- Bhattarai, B., & Budhathoki, K. (2005). Effect of Organic Manures on Pre and Post Harvest Physiology and Consumer's Acceptability of Cauliflower (*Brassica* oleracea var. botrytis). Nepal Journal of Science and Technology, 6, 11-14.
- Bhattarai, D.R., Pardhan, N.G., Chalise, B., & Piya, S. (2014). Selection of Early Cauliflower Variety for Income Generation. Nepalal Journal of Science and Technology, 15(1), 1-6.
- Chittora, A., & Singh, D. K. (2015). Genetic Variability Studies in Early Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, 6(3), 842-847.
- Cisse, N. (2001). Genotype x Row Spacing and Environment Interaction of Cowpea in Semi-Arid Zones. African Crop Science Journal, 9(2), 359-367.
- Dhakal, D., Shah, S. C., Gautam, D. M., & Yadav, R. N. (2009). Response of Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea var. botrytis*) to the Application of Boron and Phosphorus in the Soils of Rupandehi District. *Nepal Agriculture Research Journal*, 9, 56-66.
- FAO. (2023). World Food and Agriculture-Statistical Yearbook., 2023. Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/cc8166en
- Giri, H. N. (2020). Growth, Yield and Post Harvest Quality of Late Season Varieties of Cauliflower at Rampur, Chitwan. Journal of Agriculture and Forestry University, 4, 169-175.
- Giri, H. N., Sharma, M. D., Thapa, R. B., Pande, K. R., & Khatri, B. B. (2020). Performance on Postharvest Quality of Late Season Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. botrytis L.) Varieties in Terai Region of Nepal. Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science, 5(4), 543-547.
- Giri, H. N., Sharma, M. D., Thapa, R. B., Pande, K. R., Khatri, B. B., & Jha, P. K. (2023).
 Growth and Yield of Late Season Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea var. botrytis*L.) Varieties in Mid-Hill Region of Nepal. Agriculture Science Digest, 43(1), 63-67. https://doi.org/10.18805/ag.D-342
- Jindal, S. K., & Thakur, J. C. (2004). Variability Studies in November Maturity Group of Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis L.). Haryana Journal of Horticulture Science, 33 (1&2), 100-101.
- Keck, A. S., & Finley, J. W. (2004). Cruciferous Vegetables: Cancer Protective Mechanisms of Glucosinolate Hydrolysis Products and Selenium. *Integrative Cance Therapies*, 3(1), 5-12.
- Khanal, A., Timilsina, S., Khanal, S., & Timilsina, C. (2022). Performance of Different Varieties of Mid-Season Cauliflower at Jaljala, Parbat. Proceedings of 14th National Outreach Research Workshop, 22-23 February, 147-151.
- Kindo, S.S., & Singh, D. (2018). Varietal Evaluation of Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis L.) Under Agro-climatic Condition of Allahabad. International Journal of Pure Applied Bioscience, 6(1), 672-677.
- Kushwah, A., Sharma, P.K., Kushwaha, H.L., Sharma, B.B., Carpenter, G., Nag, R.H., Yadav, R., & Chowdhury, M. (2023). Innovative Selective Harvesting Technology for Cauliflower: A Design Approach Using Plant Characteristics. *Environment and Ecology*, 41(4B), 2595-2601.
- MoALD. (2020). Statisticak Information on Nepalese Agriculture 2020/21. Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development. Planning and Development Cooperation Coordination Division. Statistical and Analysis Section. Singhadarbar, Kathmandu, Nepal, 200.
- Pandey, Y. R. (2003). Evaluation of Cauliflower Varieties and Their Planting Dates for Commercial Production under Jumla Agro-Ecological Condition, Agricultural Research for Enhancing Livelihood of Nepalese People. Proceedings of Second Society of Agriculture Scientist-Nepal Convention, 30 July-1 August, 207-210.



- Poudel, K., Ansari, A. R., & Shah, M. K. (2017). Varietal Evaluation of Cauliflower for Early Season Production in the Eastern Hills of Nepal. Proceedings of the Ninth National Horticulture Workshop, May 31-June1, 316–319.
- Pradhan, N. G., Srivastava, A., Shrestha, A. K., & Gautam, I.P. (2023). Evaluation of Cauliflower Genotypes to Different Planting Dates for Early Production in Kathmandu valley. *Nepal Agriculture Research Journal*, 15(1), 82-97.
- Rai, G.S., & Kencho (2024). Screening for Heat and Rain Tolerant Cultivars of Cauliflower for Cultivation in Monsoon Season in the Subtropical Region of Bhutan. Bhutanese Journal of Agriculture, 7(1), 62-75. https://doi.org/10.55925/btagr.24.7105
- Ram, M. L. M. R. B., Lata, R., & Sharma, S. R. (2009). Determining Yield Components in Cabbage (*Brassica Oleracea var*. *Capitata* L .) Through Correlation and Path Analysis. International Journal of Science and Nature, 1(1), 27-30.
- Sajad, S. (2017). Physiological Attributes of Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea var. botrytis* L.) as Influenced by the Application of Different Levels of Nitrogen

and Hand Weeding. Indian Journal of Pure & Applied Biosciences, 5, 9-13. https://doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6000

- Santhosha, H. M., Varalakshmi, B., & Shivashankara, K. S. (2014). Characterization of Early Cauliflower Germplasm under Tropical Conditions. *The Bioscan*, 9(2), 869–874.
- Sharma, S. Singh, Y., Sharma, S., Lakshi, V., & Sekhon, B. S. (2018). Studies on Mean Performance for Yield and its Contributing Traits in Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea var. botrytis* L.) Under Mid Hill Conditions of North-Western Himalayas. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 7(2), 3288–3296. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.702.395
- Shrestha, S.L. (2022). Performance Evaluation of Cauliflower Cultivars in Mid-Hills of Nepal for Winter Season Production. Agriculture Development Journal, 16, 26-34. https://doi.org/10.3126/adj.v16i1.51618
- Yadav, M. K., Prasad, V. M., & Ahirwar, C. S. (2013). Varietal Evaluation of Cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis L.) in Allahabad Agro-Climatic Condition. Trends in Biosciences, 6(1), 99-100.