

This content is available online at AESA

Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science

Journal homepage: journals.aesacademy.org/index.php/aaes



CrossMark

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Effectiveness of pre-emergence herbicides on weed population and yield of *boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan58) under different dose

## Md. Zobayer Hossain<sup>1</sup> , Md. Towkir Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Uttam Kumer Sarker<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Shamiur Rahman<sup>2</sup>, Md. Delwar Hossain<sup>1</sup> and Md. Romij Uddin<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh - 2202, Bangladesh <sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Extension, Khamarbari, Dhaka, Bangladesh <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's E-mail: romijagron@bau.edu.bd

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received: 10 September 2024 Revised received: 21 November 2024 Accepted: 02 December 2024

#### Keywords

Boro rice Grain yield Pre-emergence herbicides Resistant weeds Weed control efficiency

#### ABSTRACT

Weed management is crucial for optimal crop performance in rice cultivation. In this respect, an experiment was conducted at the Agronomy Field Laboratory (AFL), Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh, from December 2019 to May 2020 and aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of various herbicide treatments on weed management and crop performance in rice cultivation, focusing on the variety BRRI dhan58. The study included a control group and various herbicide treatments: half the recommended dose (RD), RD, and a double dose of pendimethalin, bensulfuron methyl + acetachlor, bensulfuron methyl + bispyribac sodium, pretilachlor, and butachlor. Eleven weed species from six families infested the plots. The results showed that double doses of pre-emergence herbicides resulted in lower weed populations (WP) (m<sup>-2</sup>), reduced weed dry weight (DW) (gm<sup>-2</sup>), and higher weed control efficiency (WCE %). The highest weed control efficiencies at 30 DAT were 94.58% for B ensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor and 94.38% for Pretilachlor. The double dose of Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor achieved the lowest WP and DW and the highest WCE. Crop performance improved significantly with the double dose of Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor, resulting in the highest PH, a more significant number of effective tillers (NET) hill<sup>-1</sup>, 1000grain weight (TGW), grain yield (GY), straw yield (SY), biological yield (SY), and harvest index (HI). The second-highest GY was observed with the double dose of Bensulfuron methyl + Bispyribac sodium. The study concluded that the double dose of Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor was the most effective weed management strategy, leading to the highest grain yield in boro rice. These findings highlight that using a double dose of Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor not only offers superior weed control but also maximizes grain yield, making it the most effective weed management strategy for boro rice cultivation and a practical recommendation for farmers seeking to optimize productivity through targeted herbicide application.

#### ©2024 Agriculture and Environmental Science Academy

**Citation of this article:** Hossain, M. Z., Ahmed, M. T., Sarker, U. K., Rahman, M. S., Hossain, M. D., & Uddin, M. R. (2024). Effectiveness of pre-emergence herbicides on weed population and yield of *boro* rice (cv. BRRI dhan58) under different dose. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, *9*(4), 653-660, https://dx.doi.org/10.26832/24566632.2024.090403

#### INTRODUCTION

In Bangladesh, rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important crop and one of the most delicate agricultural goods for local and international markets. The most important product's raw material is rice, which is also used to make some food items in the entire country. Rice is the staple food, with an average annual consumption rate per capita of 144.5 kg year<sup>-1</sup> (Yunus *et al.*, 2019). Bangladesh earns about 11.20% of its gross domestic product (GDP) from agriculture (BBS, 2023). Rice is a tropical crop cultivated in almost all parts of Bangladesh. There are three primary growing seasons for rice. Among the rice groups

grown in our country, boro rice, in particular, covers 4852.29 thousand hectares of land with a production of 2076.76 thousand MT year<sup>-1</sup> (BBS, 2023). Due to low-yielding varieties, heavy weed infestations, and inadequate crop management, the average rice production is declining. Weed infestation is the most prominent problem causing poor aus rice output among these issues. Weeds, including in Bangladesh, are among the most critical constraints to crop production worldwide. According to the ISWS conference (2020), approximately 11.5% of the global production of essential crops is lost as a result of weed infestation, according to estimates. Without weed control, rice production can be decreased by 16 to 88% or even 100% (Khanh et al., 2013). The massive loss in yield presupposes weeds are seriously detrimental to crop production and must be prevented from growing or eliminated. It is a severe limitation of crop production for an overpopulated small country such as Bangladesh. Proper weed management is crucial for optimizing rice yields in Bangladesh, where various weeds, typically classified as grasses, sedges, and broadleaf weeds, commonly infest fields. Traditional weed control methods include preparatory land tillage, hand weeding with hoes, and hand pulling, with hand weeding being the most prevalent. Typically, two to three hand weedings are conducted per rice crop cycle, depending on the type and severity of weed infestation. However, heavy rainfall, flooding, high temperatures, or labor shortages can impede these traditional methods during critical periods (Chauhan et al., 2015). The current reliance on post-emergence herbicides alone by rice growers has proven ineffective, highlighting the need for an integrated weed management strategy incorporating pre-emergence herbicides. Such strategies offer initial benefits by reducing weed pressure, enhancing crop competitiveness, and yielding economic benefits. A lot of research has shown that pre-emergence herbicides like pendimethalin, oxadiazon, butachlor, and pretilachlor work to get rid of weeds (Stickler et al., 1969; Yang & Holmen, 2007; He et al., 2013).

Pendimethalin is a dinitroaniline herbicide that breaks down microtubules needed to make microfibrils in the cell wall. This stops cells from growing and chromosomes from moving during mitosis in germinating seeds and young weed shoots (Appleby & Valverde, 1989). Oxadiazon stops protoporphyrinogen oxidase, an important enzyme for plant growth, which breaks down plant tissues (Dayan et al., 2001). Butachlor and other chloroacetanilide herbicides, like pretilachlor, hinder enzymes from synthesizing chlorophyll and fatty acids (Gotz & Boger, 2004). Despite their proven efficacy, detailed information on the performance of pre-emergence herbicides still needs to be provided. Recent studies have reported significant increases in GY and effective weed control with these herbicides. For instance, a study found a 22% increase in GY with an 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> seeding rate using pretilachlor (Onwuchekwa-Henry et al., 2022). Awan et al. (2016) reported complete control of E. colona, E. crus-galli, and Leptochloa chinensis with oxadiazon. However, instances of phytotoxicity in rice from oxadiazon and pendimethalin have been noted, particularly in smallholder fields, which could adversely affect rice plant metabolism, morphology, and physiology (Awan *et al.*, 2016; Ryu *et al.*, 2020; Onwuchekwa-Henry *et al.*, 2023). However, the potential risks associated with herbicide overuse necessitate a deeper exploration of integrated weed management strategies.

Despite the proven efficacy of pre-emergence herbicides, further research is needed to compare their performance with traditional practices that omit them, especially under different post-emergence herbicide applications. This study aims to evaluate how pre-emergence herbicides impact weed biomass, rice growth, and yield, hypothesizing that their use will enhance grain yield (GY) and reduce weed biomass compared to fields without them. The research will explore various combinations of pre- and post-emergence treatments and seeding rates to provide crucial insights into optimal weed management strategies. Ultimately, the findings will inform best practices for sustainable agriculture, improving rice productivity, and ensuring food security in Bangladesh.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Experimental site**

The experiment at AFL, BAU, Mymensingh from December 2019 to May 2020, located at 90°50' E, 24°25'N, 18 m elevation, was conducted in the Old Brahmaputra floodplain (AEZ-9) (FAO & UNDP, 1988). The soil was silty loam, nearly neutral pH (6.82), moderate organic matter and fertility. The subtropical climate features high temperatures and heavy rainfall during Kharif (April-September) and low rainfall with moderate temperatures during Rabi (October-March). Detailed soil and climate data are in Tables 1 and 2.

#### **Experimental design and treatment factors**

Two components made up the experimental treatment, viz. Factor A contains name of herbicide, such as: Pendimethalin (H<sub>1</sub>), Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor (H<sub>2</sub>), Bensulfuron methyl + Bispyriback Sodium (H<sub>3</sub>), Pretilachlor (H<sub>4</sub>), Butachlor (H<sub>5</sub>). Factor B formed: Control (D<sub>1</sub>), Half of RD (D<sub>2</sub>), RD (D<sub>3</sub>), Double of RD (D<sub>4</sub>). The experiment was conducted with a RCBD, arranged in a factorial way using three replications. Total number of plots were 60 and each plot size was 4.0 m × 2.5 m.

#### Phytotoxicity of herbicides to rice plants

The phytotoxicity of herbicides to rice plants was assessed through visual observation, noting symptoms such as leaf yellowing, leaf-tip burning, and stunted growth, following the IRRI (1965) guidelines. The observed toxicity levels were categorized as no, slight, moderate, severe, and toxic.

#### Preparation of plots and crop husbandry

A designated plot was meticulously prepared for seedling cultivation. Initially, the soil was thoroughly puddled using a traditional country plough and levelled using a ladder. The plot was partitioned into two symmetrical sections for the sowing of sprouted seeds in a wet nursery bed on December 2, 2019. Measures were implemented to promote the healthy

#### Table 1 (a-c). The morphological, physical and chemical properties of the experimental field.

(a) Morphological characteristics of the soil.

Parameters	Parameters Characteristics					
Location	Location AFL, BAU, Mymensingh.					
Soil tract		Old Brahmaputra Alluvium				
Land type		Medium high land				
General soil type		Non-calcareous dark grey floodplain				
Soil series		Sonatola				
Agro-ecological zone		Old Brahmaputra Floodplain (AEZ-9)				
Topography		Fairly level				
Flood level		Above flood level				
Soil colour Dark grey						
Drainage	Drainage Moderate					
Vegetation Cropped with rice, wheat, maize etc.						
(b) Physical characteristics of the soil.		(b) Chemical characteristics of the soil				
Soil properties/constituents	Values	Parameters	Value			
Sand (%) (0.2-0.02 min)	20	pH	6.82			
Silt (%) (0.02-0.002 min)	67	Soil texture	Silt loam			
Clay (%) (<0.002 min) 13 Organic carbon (%) 1						
Soil textural class Silt loam Carbon Nitrogen 0.6						
Particle density (g/cc)	260 Available phosphorus (ppm) 15.67					
$\frac{1}{2.00}$						
Darasity (g)	1.55 Exchangeable potassium U.					
Ρυιυδιίγ (%)	40.07	Available Sulphur (ppm)	23.08			

Source: Results obtain from the analysis of the initial soil sample done in the department of Soil Science, BAU, Mymensingh.

Table 2. Monthly record	of temperature, relati	ve humidity, rainfa	all and sunshine	during the period fron	1 December, 2019 to May,
2020 at BAU campus.					

Year	Month	Air tempe	Air temperature (° C)		itive ity (%)	Sunshine	Rainfall (mm)
		Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	(1115.)	
2019	December	25.96	13.52	96.06	48.77	6.49	0.29
	January	26.27	12.16	94.45	41.06	7.33	0.00
	February	27.02	15.55	94.57	46.64	5.98	29.20
2020	March	29.98	18.82	92.7	46.43	10.00	58.06
	April	31.78	22.23	92.36	70.01	6.45	66.80
	May	42.24	24.18	92.37	66.60	5.11	11.07

Source: Weather Yard, Department of Irrigation and Water Management, BAU, Mymensingh.

development of seedlings, including regular weeding and necessary irrigation. Preparation of the experimental land commenced with a tractor-drawn disc plough, followed by intensive puddling achieved through multiple rounds of ploughing and cross ploughing with a power tiller. Subsequent levelling was performed using a ladder. The experimental setup was finalized on December 28, 2019, with precise adherence to the experimental design, which included removing all weeds and stubbles from each plot. Fertilization of the experimental plots involved the application of urea, triple super phosphate (TSP), muriate of potash (MoP), gypsum, and zinc sulphate at rates of 220, 120, 150, 85, and 10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The total quantities of TSP, MoP, gypsum, and zinc sulphate were incorporated during the final land preparation phase. According to BRRI (2013), urea was strategically top-dressed in three equal installments at three crucial growth stages: 15 days after transplanting (DAT), during tillering, and at panicle initiation. To ensure minimal disruption during transplantation, the nursery bed was irrigated one day before the uprooting of the seedlings, which facilitated their easy extraction with minimal mechanical damage to the roots. The seedlings, aged forty days, were transplanted into the well-prepared, puddled field on January 12, 2020. Planting was executed at a density of three seedlings per hill, with rows spaced 25 cm apart and hills spaced 15 cm apart, ensuring optimal growth conditions.

#### Harvesting and data collection

Data regarding WP at 30 DAT were systematically gathered from each experimental plot utilizing a 0.50 m × 0.50 m quadrat in accordance with the methodology outlined by Cruz *et al.* (1986). Weeds within each quadrat were enumerated and subsequently converted to a density per square meter by multiplying by a factor of four. Following the WP assessment, the weeds from each quadrat were meticulously uprooted, cleaned, and classified by species. The collected weed samples were then subjected to sun drying followed by dehydration in an electric oven maintained at 80°C for a duration of 72 hours. The DW of each species was determined using an electronic balance and recorded in grams per square meter, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of the data. Weed control efficiency of different weed control treatments was calculated using the following formula:

$$WCE = \frac{DWC - DWT}{DWC} \times 100$$

Here, WCE - Weed control efficiency, DWC - Dry weight of weeds in the weedy check, DWT - Dry weight of weeds in the weed management treatment.

The harvesting process was carried out when the crops reached the appropriate level of maturity for harvesting and 1 m<sup>2</sup> area was chosen in the central section of each plot to measure the GY and SY. The GY was converted to a moisture content of 14% and translated to metric tons hectare<sup>-1</sup>. No. of total tiller hill<sup>-1</sup>, and total DW hill<sup>-1</sup>were recorded for each plot, with five hills being tagged. The data was collected 30 DAT. During the harvest, many measurements were taken, including PH, NET hill<sup>-1</sup>, panicle length (PL), number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (NG), 1000-grain weight (TGW), GY, and SY. Subsequently, the BY and HI were computed.

#### Statistical analysis

The recorded data were compiled and tabulated for statistical analysis. Variance analysis was performed using the MSTAT-C software package. The mean differences among the treatments were evaluated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Gomez & Gomez, 1984).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Eleven weed species belonging to six families infested in the experimental field. Among the eleven species of weeds five were grasses, three were broad leaves and four were sedges: Local

name, scientific name, family, morphological type and life cycle of the weed in the experimental plot have been presented in (Table 3).

#### Effect of herbicidal doses on WP and species

Total WP and species m<sup>-2</sup> was influenced by weed management practices. The highest WP (m<sup>-2</sup>) was found in control treatment, showing the highest WP values of 3.66, 3.66, 3.73, 3.80, 20.60, 4.13, 3.93, 3.66, 4.0 and 3.93 m-2 at 30 DAT and the lowest WP (m<sup>-2</sup>) was found in the double dose of treatment, showing the values 0.4, 0.46, 0.73, 0.66, 0.73, 0.6, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.6m<sup>-2</sup> at 30 DAT (Figure 1). Weed density was the highest in control condition where under different herbicidal dose treatments weed density were decreased. Despite the effectiveness of herbicides, excessive use can result in herbicide resistance among weed species, as observed in *Echinochloa crus-galli* and *Digitaria sanguinalis*, which survived even under high doses (Nur-A-Alam *et al.*, 2024).

#### Effect of herbicide on WP and species

WP and species m<sup>-2</sup> was influenced by weed management practices. The lowest WP (m<sup>-2</sup>) was found in Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor and Pretilachlor herbicide treatment m-2 at 30 DAT followed by the treatment of Pendimethalin, Bensulfuron methyl + Bispyriback sodium and Butachlor herbicide m<sup>-2</sup> respectively at 30 DAT (Figure 2). The findings of this study align with other research emphasizing the importance of preemergence and post-emergence herbicides for effective weed management in rice cultivation. Pre-emergence herbicides, such as Bensulfuron methyl and Acetachlor, have proven effective in controlling weed populations and enhancing crop yield, particularly in boro rice (Nur-A-Alam *et al.*, 2024; Rahman *et al.*, 2024).

Local name	Scientific name	Family	Morphological type	Life cycle
Boro shama	Echinochola cruss-galli	Gramineae	Grass	Annual
Boro angulee	Digitaria sanguinalis	Gramineae	Grass	Annual
Choto angulee	Digitaria ischaemum	Gramineae	Grass	Annual
Arail	Leersia hexandra	Gramineae	Grass	Perennial
Angta	Panicum repens	Gramineae	Grass	Perennial
Amrul	Oxalis europaea	Oxalidaceae	Compound leaved	Perennial
Pani kachu	Monochoria hastata	Pontederiaceae	Broad leaved	Perennial
Sabuj nakful	Cyperus difformis	Cyperaceae	Sedge	Annual
Helencha	Enhydra fluctuans	Onagraceae	Broad leaves	Annual
Chechra	Scirpus mucronatus	Cyperaceae	Sedge	Perennial
Nolchaise	Scirpus articulatus	Cyperaceae	Sedge	Annual



Figure 1. Effect of different herbicidal dose on different weed species. Here,  $D_1$ - No herbicide,  $D_2$ -Half of recommended dose,  $D_3$ -Recommended dose,  $D_4$ - Double of the recommended dose.



**Figure 2.** Effect of herbicides on different weed species. Here,  $H_1$ -Pendimethalin,  $H_2$ -Bensulfuron methyl+ Acetachlor,  $H_3$ -Bensulfuron methyl + Bispyriback sodium,  $H_4$ - Pretilachlor,  $H_5$ -Butachlor

Interaction effect between herbicide and doses on WP and species WP and species  $m^{-2}$  was influenced by weed management practices. The highest WP ( $m^{-2}$ ) was found in control treatment at 30 DAT and the lowest WP ( $m^{-2}$ ) was found in the double dose of treatment Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor followed by preti-

lachlor, pendimethalin, Bensulfuron methyl Bispyriback sodium and Butachlor at 30 DAT (Table 4). However, the increased reliance on herbicides also raises concerns about the potential for herbicide resistance, as highlighted by cases where certain weed species survived even with double herbicide doses (Rahman *et al.*, 2024; Zinnat *et al.*, 2024).

#### Effect of herbicidal dose on WCE

There was significant effect on WCE (%) at 30 DAT. The highest

WCE (%) was found in double of the RD showing the highest values of 93.13% at DAT and 0.00 (%) was found in control treatment at 30 DAT (Figure 3). Herbicide management strategies must consider the ecological impact and the risk of resistance buildup. Excessive herbicide use has been associated with environmental degradation and shifts in weed dynamics, posing challenges for long-term sustainability (Akondo *et al.*, 2024). As a result, many studies recommend integrating herbicides with non-chemical weed control methods, such as the use of crop residue extracts, to achieve sustainable weed management (Tonni *et al.*, 2024). For example, aqueous extracts from grass pea residues, when combined with post-emergence herbicides, have been shown to enhance weed control efficiency (WCE) and yield performance in boro rice (Zinnat *et al.*, 2024).

Table 4. Interaction effect between herbicide and doses on WP and species.

I	Е.	М.	О.	С.	D.	<b>S</b> .	<b>S</b> .	L.	Р.	Ε.	D.
Interaction	crussgalli	hastata	europaea	difformis	ischaemum	mucronatus	articulates	hexandra	repens	fluctuans	sanguinalis
$H_1D_1$	3.33ab	3.33	4.00a	4.00a	4.00a	20.66b	5.00	4.00a	3.33ab	4.00	4.00a
$H_1D_2$	1.33cd	1.00	3.00abc	3.33ab	2.33bc	10.33c	2.33	2.33b	2.33bc	2.33	2.33b
$H_1D_3$	0.66de	1.00	1.33def	1.00d	1.66bcd	3.00d	0.66	0.66cd	1.00de	1.33	1.00c
$H_1D_4$	0.66de	0.33	1.00ef	0.66d	1.00de	0.66e	0.33	0.33d	0.66de	0.33	0.66c
$H_2D_1$	4.00a	3.66	4.00a	4.00a	4.00a	19.00b	4.00	4.00a	3.33ab	4.33	4.00a
$H_2D_2$	1.33cd	1.66	2.00cde	1.66cd	2.00bcd	10.00c	2.00	1.66bc	1.66cd	2.33	2.33b
$H_2D_3$	0.66de	1.00	1.33def	1.00d	1.66bcd	3.00d	1.33	0.66cd	0.66de	1.33	1.00c
$H_2D_4$	0.00e	0.33	0.66f	0.66d	1.33cde	1.00e	0.66	0.33d	0.66de	0.66	0.66c
$H_3D_1$	3.66a	4.00	4.00a	3.33ab	4.00a	23.33a	4.00	4.00a	4.00a	3.66	4.00a
$H_3D_2$	2.33bc	2.33	2.00cde	2.33bc	2.33bc	9.66c	2.33	2.33b	2.33bc	2.33	2.33b
$H_3D_3$	0.66de	1.00	1.00ef	1.33cd	2.00bcd	1.66de	1.33	1.33bcd	1.00de	1.00	1.33bc
$H_3D_4$	0.66de	0.66	0.66f	0.66d	1.33cde	0.66e	0.66	0.66cd	0.66de	0.66	0.66c
$H_4D_1$	3.66a	4.00	3.33ab	3.66a	4.00a	19.66b	4.00	4.00a	3.66a	4.00	4.00a
$H_4D_2$	1.66cd	1.66	1.66def	2.33bc	2.66b	9.66c	2.33	2.33b	2.33bc	2.33	2.33b
$H_4D_3$	0.66de	0.66	1.00ef	1.00d	2.00bcd	2.00de	1.33	1.00cd	1.33cde	1.66	0.66c
$H_4D_4$	0.00e	0.33	0.66f	0.66d	1.33cde	0.66e	0.66	0.33d	0.66de	0.66	0.33c
H₅D₁	3.66a	3.33	3.33ab	4.00a	4.33a	20.33b	3.66	3.66a	4.00a	4.00	3.66a
$H_5D_2$	2.33bc	2.33	2.33bcd	2.33bc	2.66b	10.66c	2.66	2.33b	2.33bc	2.33	2.33b
H₅D₃	1.00de	1.00	1.33def	1.33cd	2.33bc	2.33de	1.66	0.66cd	1.33cde	1.33	1.33bc
H₅D₄	0.66de	0.66	0.66f	0.66d	0.33e	0.66e	0.66	0.33d	0.33e	0.66	0.66c
LSD(0.05)	1.07	1.32	1.27	1.16	1.27	1.97	1.33	1.16	1.04	1.23	1.31
Level of Significance	**	NS	**	**	**	**	NS	**	**	NS	**
CV%	20.40	21.01	19.02	12.49	12.88	11.93	16.49	18.01	17.34	23.94	20.13

Here, means with the same letters within the same column do not differ significantly, \*\* - Significant at 1% level of probability, NS – Non significant,  $H_1$  -Pendimethalin,  $H_2$ -Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor,  $H_3$ - Bensulfuron methyl + Bispyriback sodium,  $H_4$ -Pretilachlor,  $H_5$ -Butachlor,  $D_1$ - No herbicide,  $D_2$ -Half of recommended dose,  $D_3$ -Recommended dose,  $D_4$ -Double of the recommended dose.



Figure 3. Effect of dose of herbicide on weed control efficiency. Here,  $D_1$ - No herbicide,  $D_2$ -Half of recommended dose,  $D_3$ -Recommended dose,  $D_4$ -Double of the recommended dose.



**Figure 4.** Effect of different herbicide on weed control efficiency. Here,  $H_1$ -Pendimethalin,  $H_2$ -Bensulfuron methyl+ Acetachlor,  $H_3$ - Bensulfuron methyl + Bispyriback sodium,  $H_4$ -Pretilachlor,  $H_5$ - Butachlor

#### Effect of different herbicide on WCE

Significant variation was found on WCE (%) at 30 DAT. The highest WCE (%) was found in Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor treatment achieving the highest efficiency of 54.49% at 30 DAT followed by Pretilachlor, Bensulfuron methyl + Bispyriback Sodium, Butachlor and Pendimethalin (Figure 4). Herbicides play a pivotal role in enhancing weed control efficiency (WCE), directly impacting crop productivity by reducing competition between crops and weeds. The application of both pre-emergence and post-emergence herbicides has proven to be an effective strategy to suppress weed populations, minimizing their impact on yield. Nur-A-Alam et al. (2024) reported that using double the recommended dose (RD) of herbicides such as Penoxsulam and Triafamone achieved the highest WCE, reaching 91.09% and 90.13%, respectively, in boro rice fields. These results demonstrate that higher doses of herbicides significantly reduce weed density and biomass, promoting favorable conditions for crop growth.

## Effect of doses of herbicide on yield and yield contributing characters of boro rice

Herbicidal doses had a non-significant effect on PL, NGP, and TGW. However, the highest PL, NGP, and TGW (18.93 cm, 86.96, 25.89 g) were observed with double herbicide doses. PH, NET hill<sup>-1</sup>, GY, SY, BY, and HI were significantly influenced by weed management treatments. The highest PH and NET hill<sup>-1</sup> (93.33 cm, 7.92) were achieved with the RD of herbicide. The highest GY, SY, BY, and HI (5.10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 6.47 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 11.57 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 44.07%)

were with double herbicide doses (Table 5). Herbicide efficacy varies based on the timing and dosage of application. The combined use of pre- and post-emergence herbicides improves crop competitiveness by reducing early weed pressure (Mostafa *et al.*, 2024). The integration of pre-emergence applications, such as Bensulfuron methyl and Acetachlor, with postemergence herbicides has shown enhanced weed control and yield performance, particularly in boro rice (Rahman *et al.*, 2024).

## Effect of herbicides on yield and yield contributing characters of boro rice

Effect of herbicide were found non-significant on PL, NGP. PH, NET hill<sup>-1</sup>, TGW, GY, SY, BY and HI were significantly influenced by different weed management treatments. The highest PH, NET hill<sup>-1</sup>, TGW, GY, BY and HI (91.60 cm, 7.86, 26.17 g, 4.10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 9.53 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, 42.72%) were produced when Pendimethalin were applied. Conversely, the lowest PH (90.80 cm) was observed with Butachlor, although this treatment also resulted in a high SY (5.70 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and BY (9.71 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pretilachlor showed lower effectiveness in terms of GY (3.61 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and HI (40.58%), despite having comparable plant height and panicle length to other treatments (Table 6). Pre-emergence and post-emergence herbicides have been identified as essential tools in this regard. For instance, Mostafa et al. (2024) found that double the recommended dose (RD) of Penoxsulam and Triafamone achieved the highest weed control efficiency (WCE), with significant improvements in grain yield (GY) and other yield attributes.

I able 5. Effect of herbicidal dose on yield and yield contributing characters of bol	oro rice.
---	-----------

Dose	PH (cm)	NET hill <sup>-1</sup>	PL (cm)	NGP	TGW (g)	GY (t ha⁻¹)	SY (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	BY (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	HI (%)
D <sub>1</sub>	88.20d	7.20b	19	85.46	25.88	2.89d	4.37d	7.26d	39.64d
D <sub>2</sub>	91.26c	7.74a	18.93	85.19	25.55	3.28c	4.82c	8.10c	40.49c
D <sub>3</sub>	93.33a	7.78a	18.82	86.44	25.45	4.22b	5.85b	10.07b	41.94b
D <sub>4</sub>	92.40b	7.92a	18.93	86.96	25.89	5.10a	6.47a	11.57a	44.07a
LSD (0.05)	0.84	0.31	0.59	1.28	0.59	0.13	0.18	0.29	0.54
Level of significant	**	**	NS	NS	NS	**	**	**	**
CV%	1.23	5.45	4.24	2.00	3.08	4.59	4.49	4.33	1.77

Here, means with the same letters or without letters within the same column do not differ significantly as per DMRT, \*\* -Significant at 1% level of probability, \* - Significant at 5% level of probability, NS – Non significant,  $D_1$ - No herbicide,  $D_2$ -Half of recommended dose,  $D_3$ -Recommended dose,  $D_4$  -Double of recommended dose.

Table 6. Effect of different herbicide on v	vield and <sup>,</sup>	vield contributin	g characters of	of boro rice.

PH (cm)	$NET_{1}hill^{T}$	PL (cm)	NGP	TGW (g)	GY (t ha⁻¹)	SY (t ha⁻¹)	BY (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	HI (%)
91.30ab	7.80ab	19.12	87.68	25.83ab	3.61b	5.04c	8.66b	41.37bc
91.60a	7.86a	18.77	86.42	26.17a	4.10a	5.43b	9.53a	42.72a
91.40a	7.64ab	18.96	86.21	25.30b	4.02a	5.50b	9.53a	41.99ab
91.38ab	7.49b	18.75	84.56	25.40b	3.61b	5.21c	8.83b	40.58d
90.80b	7.51b	19	85.22	25.76ab	4.00a	5.70a	9.71a	41.00cd
0.57	0.34	0.69	3.49	0.71	0.24	0.20	0.44	0.77
**	**	NS	NS	**	**	**	**	**
0.67	4.78	3.89	4.31	2.93	6.80	3.95	5.07	1.99
	PH (cm) 91.30ab 91.60a 91.40a 91.38ab 90.80b 0.57 ** 0.67	PH (cm) NET hill 1   91.30ab 7.80ab   91.60a 7.86a   91.40a 7.64ab   91.38ab 7.49b   90.80b 7.51b   0.57 0.34   ** **	PH (cm) NET hill <sup>T</sup> 1 PL (cm)   91.30ab 7.80ab 19.12   91.60a 7.86a 18.77   91.40a 7.64ab 18.96   91.38ab 7.49b 18.75   90.80b 7.51b 19   0.57 0.34 0.69   ** ** NS   0.67 4.78 3.89	PH (cm) NET hill 1 PL (cm) NGP   91.30ab 7.80ab 19.12 87.68   91.60a 7.86a 18.77 86.42   91.40a 7.64ab 18.96 86.21   91.38ab 7.49b 18.75 84.56   90.80b 7.51b 19 85.22   0.57 0.34 0.69 3.49   ** ** NS NS   0.67 4.78 3.89 4.31	PH (cm) NET hill 1 PL (cm) NGP TGW (g)   91.30ab 7.80ab 19.12 87.68 25.83ab   91.60a 7.86a 18.77 86.42 26.17a   91.40a 7.64ab 18.96 86.21 25.30b   91.38ab 7.49b 18.75 84.56 25.40b   90.80b 7.51b 19 85.22 25.76ab   0.57 0.34 0.69 3.49 0.71   ** ** NS NS **   0.67 4.78 3.89 4.31 2.93	PH (cm) NET hill 1 PL (cm) NGP TGW (g) GY (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   91.30ab 7.80ab 19.12 87.68 25.83ab 3.61b   91.60a 7.86a 18.77 86.42 26.17a 4.10a   91.40a 7.64ab 18.96 86.21 25.30b 4.02a   91.38ab 7.49b 18.75 84.56 25.40b 3.61b   90.80b 7.51b 19 85.22 25.76ab 4.00a   0.57 0.34 0.69 3.49 0.71 0.24   ** ** NS NS ** **   0.67 4.78 3.89 4.31 2.93 6.80	PH (cm) NET hill <sup>-</sup> 1 PL (cm) NGP TGW (g) GY (t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) SY (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )   91.30ab 7.80ab 19.12 87.68 25.83ab 3.61b 5.04c   91.60a 7.86a 18.77 86.42 26.17a 4.10a 5.43b   91.40a 7.64ab 18.96 86.21 25.30b 4.02a 5.50b   91.38ab 7.49b 18.75 84.56 25.40b 3.61b 5.21c   90.80b 7.51b 19 85.22 25.76ab 4.00a 5.70a   0.57 0.34 0.69 3.49 0.71 0.24 0.20   ** ** NS NS ** ** **   0.67 4.78 3.89 4.31 2.93 6.80 3.95	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Here, means with the same letters within the same column do not differ significantly as per DMRT. \*\* - Significant at 1% level of probability, NS – Non significant,  $H_1$ -Pendimethalin,  $H_2$ -Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor,  $H_3$ - Bensulfuron methyl + Bispyriback sodium,  $H_4$ -Pretilachlor,  $H_5$ -Butachlor.

Table 7. Combined	d effect of herbicide and	l dose on yield and	yield contributing	characters of boro rice
			/	

Interaction	Herbicide	PH (cm)	NET bill⁻¹	PL (cm)	NGP	TGW	GY (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	SY (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	BY (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
H <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	87.93g	6.93g	18.88	87.89	26.62	2.24g	3.56k	5.80i	38.56i
$H_1D_2$	92.76abc	7.80abcdef	18.98	90.13	25.36	3.24ef	4.46ij	7.70gh	42.01cd
$H_1D_3$	91.30cde	8.00abc	19.49	88.87	25.65	4.12d	6.01c	10.13d	40.67ef
$H_1D_4$	93.23ab	8.46a	19.13	83.83	25.71	4.86c	6.13c	11.00bc	44.25a
$H_2D_1$	88.73fg	7.60bcdefg	18.6	85.30	26.11	3.36e	4.82ghi	8.18efg	41.12cdef
$H_2D_2$	91.30cde	7.86abcd	19.12	86.62	26.1	3.36e	4.90fgh	8.26efg	40.69ef
$H_2D_3$	93.70ab	7.73bcdef	18.63	86.20	26.23	4.33d	5.43de	9.76d	44.37a
$H_2D_4$	92.66abc	8.26ab	18.76	87.56	26.25	5.33a	6.60ab	11.93a	44.70a
$H_3D_1$	88.43g	7.20defg	19.18	86.53	25.59	3.24ef	5.03efgh	8.28efg	39.20ghi
$H_3D_2$	91.16cde	7.80abcdef	18.59	82.54	25.49	3.50e	5.08efg	8.58ef	40.74def
$H_3D_3$	93.50ab	7.83abcde	18.66	87.93	24.42	4.26d	5.56d	9.83d	43.40ab
$H_3D_4$	92.53abcd	7.73bcdef	19.41	87.83	25.69	5.10abc	6.33abc	11.43ab	44.61a
$H_4D_1$	88.13g	7.16efg	18.98	82.28	25.42	2.36g	3.80k	6.16i	38.40i
$H_4D_2$	90.83de	7.73bcdef	19.15	87.89	25.34	2.93f	4.36j	7.30h	40.17efg
$H_4D_3$	94.23a	7.66bcdef	18.64	90.13	25.74	4.23d	6.00c	10.23d	41.36cde
$H_4D_4$	92.33bcd	7.40cdefg	18.24	88.87	25.08	4.93bc	6.70a	11.63ab	42.40bc
$H_5D_1$	87.76g	7.13fg	19.38	83.83	25.64	3.23ef	4.66hij	7.90fgh	40.90def
$H_5D_2$	90.26ef	7.53cdefg	18.81	85.30	25.45	3.36e	5.30def	8.66e	38.85hi
H₅D <sub>3</sub>	93.93ab	7.66bcdef	18.66	86.62	25.24	4.16d	6.26bc	10.43cd	39.90fgh
$H_5D_4$	91.26cde	7.73bcdef	19.14	86.20	26.71	5.26ab	6.60ab	11.86a	44.37a
LSD (0.05)	1.87	0.69	1.35	4.27	1.34	0.35	0.41	0.72	1.22
Level of significant	**	**	NS	NS	NS	**	**	**	**
CV%	1.23	5.45	4.24	2.00	3.08	4.59	4.49	4.33	1.77

Here, means with the same letters within the same column do not differ significantly, \*\* - Significant at 1% level of probability, NS - Non significant, H1 -Pendimethalin, H<sub>2</sub>-Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor, H<sub>3</sub>- Bensulfuron methyl + Bispyriback sodium, H<sub>4</sub>-Pretilachlor, H<sub>5</sub>-Butachlor, D<sub>1</sub>- No herbicide, D<sub>2</sub>-Half of recommended dose, D<sub>3</sub>-Recommended dose, D<sub>4</sub>-Double of the recommended dose.

#### Combined effect of herbicide and dose on yield and yield contributing characters of boro rice

The interaction between herbicide types and doses significantly influenced PH, NET hill<sup>-1</sup>, GY, SY, BY, and HI, but not PL, NGP, and TGW. The highest PH (94.23 cm) was with the RD of Pretilachlor, and the lowest (87.76 cm) with no herbicide. NET hill<sup>-1</sup> was highest (8.46) with the RD of Pendimethalin. The highest GY (5.33 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was achieved with double doses of Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor, while the lowest (2.24 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was in the control treatment. Double doses also maximized BY confirming their superior effectiveness in boro rice (Nur-A-Alam et al., 2024, Mim et al., 2024). The findings from recent studies highlight the significance of herbicides in achieving optimal yield and managing weeds in rice cultivation. Effective weed management is critical for minimizing competition between crops and weeds, which significantly impacts yield and yield-contributing characters, such as the number of effective tillers, 1000-grain weight (TGW), and straw yield (Zinnat et al., 2024).

#### Conclusion

The experimental findings demonstrated that the BRRI dhan58 variety achieved maximum yields when grown with double the recommended dose (RD) of Bensulfuron methyl + Acetachlor, applied at 5 days after transplanting (DAT). This treatment proved to be the most effective weed management strategy, significantly reducing weed infestation and enhancing crop performance. However, further trials across different Agro-ecological zones in Bangladesh are recommended to validate these results and ensure their broader applicability.

#### **DECLARATIONS**

#### Author contribution statement

Conceptualization: M.Z.H., U.K.S., M.D.H. and M.R.U.; Methodology: M.Z.H., M.T.A.; Software and validation: M.T.A.; Formal analysis and investigation: M.Z.H.; Resources: M.Z.H.; Data curation: M.Z.H.; Writing-original draft preparation: M.Z.H. and M.T.A.; Writing-review and editing: M.D.H., M.S.R., U.K.S. and M.R.U; Visualization: M.Z.H..; Supervision: M.R.U.; Project administration: M.R.U.; Funding acquisition: M.R.U. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript.

Ethics approval: Not applicable.

Consent for publication: Yes.

Data availability: Data will be made available on request.

Supplementary data: There is no supplementary data was used.

Funding statement: The authors extend their appreciation to the Ministry of Education (MOE), Project number 2019/12/ MOE, Government Peoples' Republic of Bangladesh for financial support.

Additional information: No additional information is available for this paper.

**Publisher's Note:** Agro Environ Media (AESA) remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps, figures and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access:** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) or sources are credited.

#### REFERENCES

- Akondo, S., Ahmed, M. T., Uddin, M. R., & Sarker, U. K. (2024). Combined application of herbicide and aqueous extract of sorghum and mustard crop residue enhance weed management and yield of wheat. *Journal of Agroforestry and Environment*, 17(2), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.55706/jae1710
- Appleby, A. P., & Valverde, B. E. (1989). Behavior of dinitroaniline herbicides in plants. Weed Technology, 3, 198–206. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0890037x00031626
- Awan, T. H., Sta Cruz, P. C., & Chauhan, B. S. (2016). Effect of pre-emergence herbicides and timing of soil saturation on the control of six major rice weeds and their phytotoxic effects on rice seedlings. *Crop Protection*, 83, 37–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2016.01.013
- BBS. (2023). Statistical year book of Bangladesh. Statistics and Information Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of People's Republic of Bangladesh.

BRRI. (2013). Adhunic Dhaner Chash (in Bangla). Bangladesh Rice Research Institute.

- Chauhan, B. S., Ahmed, S., Awan, T. H., Jabran, K., & Manalil, S. (2015). Integrated weed management approach to improve weed control efficiencies for sustainable rice production in dry-seeded systems. *Crop Protection*, 71, 19-24.
- Cruz, E. D., Moody, K., & Ramos, M. B. (1986). Reducing variability sampling weeds in upland rice (Oryza sativa). *Philippine Journal of Weed Science*, 13, 56-59.
- Dayan, F. E., Romagni, J. G., & Duke, S. O. (2001). Protoporphyrinogen oxidase inhibitors. In R. Krieger (Ed.), Handbook of pesticide toxicology: Principles and agents (pp. 1529–1541). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-012426260-7.50071-9
- Dola, N. A., Sarker, U. K., Ahmed, M. T., Upama, S. A., Rashid, M. H. O., & Uddin, M. R. (2024). Comparative advantages of aqueous extract of mustard crop residues with herbicide to weed control and crop performance of wheat. Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science, 9, 294-301. https://doi.org/10.26832/24566632.2024.0902013
- FAO & UNDP. (1988). Land resources appraisal of Bangladesh for agricultural development report 2: Agroecological regions of Bangladesh. BARC/UNDP.
- Gomez, K. A., & Gomez, A. A. (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2nd ed.). John Wiley and Sons.
- Gotz, T., & Boger, P. (2004). The very-long-chain fatty acid synthase is inhibited by chloroacetamides. Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C: Journal of Biosciences, 59, 549-553. https://doi.org/10.1515/znc-2004-7-818
- He, H., Li, Y., Chen, T., Huang, X., Guo, Q., & Li, S. (2013). Butachlor induces some physiological and biochemical changes in a rice field biofertilizer cyanobacterium. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 105, 224-230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2013.02.009

- IRRI (1965). Annual report for 1963. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Phillippines. P. 467.
- Khanh, T. D., Linh, L. H., Linh, T. H., Quan, N. T., Cuong, D. M., Hien, V. T. T., Ham, L. H., & Xuan, T. D. (2013). Integration of allelopathy to control weeds in rice. https://doi.org/10.5772/56035
- Mim, T. A., Ahmed, M. T., Sarker, U. K., & Uddin, M. R. (2024). Evaluation of transplanted Aman rice by exploiting and adjusting existing technologies. Archives of Current Research International, 24(8), 43-51.
- Mostafa, G., Sarker, U. K., Ahmed, M. T., Salam, M. A., & Uddin, M. R. (2024). Dose response of post-emergence herbicides for prediction of herbicide resistant weeds and yield of Boro rice (cv. BRRI dhan58). *Journal of Agroforestry and Environment*, 17(2), 28-38. https://doi.org/10.55706/jae1714
- Nur-A-Alam, M., Ahmed, M. T., Sarker, U. K., Rahman, M. R., & Uddin, M. R. (2024). Assessing herbicide-resistance and yield optimization in Boro rice (cv. BRRI dhan29) through post-emergence herbicides applications. Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science, 9(3), 22-36.
- Onwuchekwa-Henry, C. B., Van Ogtrop, F., Roche, R., & Tan, D. K. Y. (2023). Efficacy of pendimethalin rates on barnyard grass (*Echinochloa crus-galli* L.) Beauv and their effect on photosynthetic performance in rice. *Agronomy*, 13, 582. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13020582
- Onwuchekwa-Henry, C. B., Van Ogtrop, F., Roche, R., & Tan, D. K. Y. (2022). Seeding rate, fertilizer and herbicide effects on canopy growth and productivity of direct-seeded rice (DSR) under different management practices. *Field Crops Research*, 284, 108565. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FCR.2022.108565
- Proceedings of ISWS Biennial Conference on "Weed Management for Enhancing Farmers' Income and Food Security", ICAR-CCARI, Goa, Indian Society of Weed Science, India. 5-7 February 2020, 270 p.
- Rahman, M., Sarker, U. K., Ahmed, M. T., Zinnat, M., & Uddin, M. R. (2024). Combined hot water extract of lentil and grass pea increases weed control efficiency and yield of boro rice. Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science, 9(3), 500-507. https://doi.org/10.26832/24566632.2024.0903013
- Ryu, J.-H., Jeong, H., & Cho, J. (2020). Performances of vegetation indices on paddy rice at elevated air temperature, heat stress, and herbicide damage. *Remote Sensing*, 12, 2654. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12162654
- Stickler, R. L., Knake, E. L., & Hinesly, T. D. (1969). Soil moisture and effectiveness of preemergence herbicides. Weed Science, 17, 257-259. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0043174500031453
- Tonni, N. H., Sarker, U. K., Ahmed, M. T., Upama, S. A., & Uddin, M. R. (2024). Relative benefits of aqueous extract of sorghum residues with herbicide on weed control and yield of wheat varieties. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 22(3), 326-334. https://doi.org/10.3329/jbau.v22i3.76405
- Yang, W., & Holmen, B. A. (2007). Effects of relative humidity on chloroacetanilide and dinitroaniline herbicide desorption from agricultural PM2.5 on quartz fiber filters. Environmental Science & Technology, 41, 3843-3849. https://doi.org/10.1021/es062692i
- Yunus, M., Rashid, S., & Chowdhury, S. (2019). Per capita rice consumption in Bangladesh: Available estimates and IFPRI's validation survey results. International Food Policy Research Institute.
- Zinnat, M., Ahmed, M. T., Sarker, U. K., Rahman, M., & Uddin, M. R. (2024). Influence of grass pea residues extract on weed control efficacy and performance of boro rice. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 22(3), 360-368. https://doi.org/10.3329/jbau.v22i3.76409